

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,401	02/03/2006	Bernhard Gallnbock	GALLNBOCK-1 (PCT)	2561
25889 7590 11/30/2009 COLLARD & ROE, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD			BAINBRIDGE, ANDREW	ANDREW PHILIP
ROSLYN, NY 11576	115/6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,401	GALLNBOCK, BERNHARD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ANDREW P. BAINBRIDGE	3754		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. \( \times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term ediplication.

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

## <u>AMENDMENTS</u>

he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or

(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 see attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

non-allowable claim(s)

7. 

For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 

will not be entered, or b) 

will be entered and an explanation of

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_ Claim(s) rejected: <u>5 and 6</u>.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_

#### Claim(s) withdrawn from consid AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.14(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. \( \bigcap \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. ☐ Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_13. ☐ Other:

/A. P. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754

/Kenneth Bomberg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new claims 5 and 6 have been reviewed and the Examiner believes that they represent a corrected version of the corresponding previous claims 2 and 5, and 40 not add new claim ilmitations. After reviewing the newly written claims, the Examiner maintains the earlier rejections dated 8/6/2009 as still valid and appropriate, and proposed claims 5 and 6, once entered, would be rejected under the same grounds as the earlier office action dated 8/6/2009 detailed. More specifically, the Examiner believes that Tillander has all of the elements of proposed claim 6 including a connection injeple 19 that constitutes a removable connection to connect the Tillander device to a pressurized air source, and that Sancoff teaches a pressurized air source in the form of a replaceable bag 120 that holds a tablet 119 that when introdued to cliric acid 121 produces a gas that serves as a pressurized air source and are escape through the surrounding membrane 120.6 this portion of the Sancoff device is readily adaptible to the Tillander device to meet all the limitations of claim 5, including the desired portability and replaceability of the pressurized air source.